Nonlinear Systems Toolbox Arthur J. Krener ajkrener@nps.edu Supported in part by AFOSR

The Nonlinear Systems Toolbox is a suite of Matlab routines for the control and estimation of nonlinear systems.

We will discuss two of them for Optimal Stabilization and Optimal Regulation

Example of Optimal Stabilization

% This is an example of how to optimally % stabilize a system to an operating point % using Al'brekht's method to solve a smooth % infinite horizon optimal control problem. % E. G. Al'brekht, On the Optimal % Stabilization of Nonlinear Systems, J. % Appl. Math. Mech., v. 25, pp. 1254-1266, 1961. % This script can be used as a template to % optimally stabilize any smooth nonlinear % system. Smooth means that the system % can be described using elementary functions % that MATLAB can symbolically differentiate.

% This example is to stabilize to the upright % position a double pendulum using torques % at each of the pivots.

% Although it is not needed in this example % in general it is essential to scale the % state and control variables by dividing % each variable by its charcteristic value % so that each variable varies plus/minus % one unit from its equilibrium value.

4

% The code starts by computing the Taylor % polynomial of the dynamics of degrees % one through D and the Taylor polynomial % of the Lagrangian of degrees two through D+1 % at an operating point. It is essential % that the linear part of the dynamics and % the quadratic part of the Lagrangian % constitute a nice LQR problem. % Then the code computes the Taylor polynomial % of the optimal cost PY through degree two to D+1 % and the Taylor polynomial of the optimal % feedback KA to degree D at the operating % point. These are in scaled displacement coordinates % around the operating point. Then the code % simulates the close loop response from some % initial state. % Increasing the degree D generally leads to % a more accurate approximation to the true % optimal cost and optimal feedback near the % operating point. But it does not necessarily % lead to a larger domain on which the computed % PY is a valid Lyapunov function for the dynamics % using the computed feedback KA but generally % using D=3 is better than D=1. % The code puts no limit on the degree D nor % the dimensions N and M of the state and % control but time and storage limitations % might effectively do so. clear % Define system n=4; % state dimension m=2; % control dimension d=3; % degree of optimal feedback x=sym('x',[n,1]); % state variables, theta1,theta2, th u=sym('u',[m,1]); % control variables, torque at firs

11=1; % length of first massless link
12=2; % length of second massless link
m1=2; % mass at end of first link
m2=1; % mass at end of second link
b1=0.5; % damping coefficient at first joint
b2=0.5; % damping coefficient at second joint
g=9.8; % gravitational constant

x0=[pi;pi;0;0]; % equilibrium state, at rest straight u0=[0;0]; % equilibrium control

xscale=ones(n,1); % state variable charcteristic lengt uscale=ones(m,1); % control variable charcteristic lengt

- % inertia matrix
- M=[m1*l1^2+m2*l2^2,m2*l1*l2*cos(x(1,1)-x(2,1));.... m2*l1*l2*cos(x(1,1)-x(2,1)), m2*l2^2];

% coriolis and centripetal matrix

C=reshape(jacobian(reshape(M,4,1),x(1:2,1))*x(3:4,1),2

% kinetic energy

T=x(3:4,1).'*M*x(3:4,1)/2;

% potential energy

V=g*(m1*l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+m2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1,1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1-cos(x(1)))+l2*(l1*(1

% Lagrangian

L=T-V;

% dynamics

fsym12=x(3:4,1); % kinematics
fsym34=inv(M)*(jacobian(L,x(1:2,1)).'-C*x(3:4,1)....
+u-[b1*x(3,1);b2*(x(4,1)-x(3,1))]);
fsym=[fsym12;fsym34]; % dynamics

% The linear part of the dynamics at % the equilibrium of the must be stabilizable.

% We choose a control Lagrangian starting with quadrat % The quadartic part of the Lagrangian and the linear % of the dynamics must satisfy the standard LQR condit

lsym=((x(1,1)-x0(1,1))^2+(x(2,1)-x0(2,1))^2.... +u(1,1)^2+u(2,1)^2)/2;

% If there are state and/or control constraints then p % terms can be added to the Lagarngian to try to enfor % We call hjb_set_up.m to convert the symbolic FSYM an % matrices F and L of coefficients of their Taylor pol % at x0, u0.

tic

[f,1]=hjb_set_up(fsym,lsym,x,u,x0,u0,.... xscale,uscale,n,m,d); set_up_time=toc

12

% We call hjb.m to find the Taylor polynomial PY of th % to degree D+1 and the Taylor polynomial KA of the op % to degree D. The routine also returns FK and LK, the % and the closed loop Lagarngian.

tic
[ka,fk,py,lk] = hjb(f,l,n,m,d);
comp_time=toc
% Note: hjb.m runs much faster the second time.

% We verify that PY and KA approximately satisfy the % first HJB equation. The rseidue of this equation % is a polynomial of degrees 2 through D+1 and HJB_ERH % is the 2 norm of its coefficients.

hjb_err=norm(dd(py,[1,n],[2,d+1],fk,[n,n],[1,d],[2,d+1])

% Create anonymous functions of PY and KA. % Their argument XX is in the original coordinates.

py_fn=@(xx) py*mon((xx-x0)./xscale,n,[2,d+1]);

ka_fn=@(xx) ka*mon((xx-x0)./xscale,n,[1,d]);

% Create an anonymous matlabFunction of FSYM.
ff=matlabFunction(fsym,'file','','vars',{x,u});

% Create an anonymous function % that ode45.m can integrate xdot=@(t,xx)ff(xx,ka_fn(xx)); % Define a random initial state. x1=0.5*randn(n,1)+x0

% Integrate the closed loop plant f % rom this initial condition. [tout,xxout]=ode45(xdot,[0,10],x1);

% Plot the trjectories of two angles

plot(tout,xxout(:,1),'.b',tout,xxout(:,2),'-r')

set_up_time = 3.0611 Solving the HJB equations of degree 1 Solving the HJB equations of degree 2 Solving the HJB equations of degree 3 comp_time = 0.0544 hjb_err = 4.2107e-10 x1 = 3.3010 2.4877 -0.2168 0.1713

